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1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

2 THE LORD’S PRAYER

3 MEETING DECLARED OPEN

4 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

4.1 Apologies

4.2 Leave of Absence Granted

A. THAT it be noted that Member H D Sjoberg is an apology due to a Leave of Absence being previously granted on 22 February 2011 for the period 23 March 2011 to 17 April 2011.

B. THAT it be noted that Member H I Galton is an apology due to a Leave of Absence being previously granted on 29 March 2011 for the period 6 - 13 April 2011.

C. THAT it be noted that Member K M Moir is an apology due to a Leave of Absence being previously granted on 6 April 2011 for the period 7 – 18 April 2011.

DECISION NO.20\() (12/04/11)

4.3 Leave of Absence Requested

5 DECLARATION OF INTEREST OF MEMBERS AND STAFF
6 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING/S

6.1 Confirmation of the Previous Ordinary Council Meeting
Common No. 1955119

THAT the tabled minutes of the previous Ordinary Council Meeting held on Tuesday, 12 April 2011, be received and confirmed as a true and correct record of the proceedings of that meeting.

DECISION NO.20\(()\) (12/04/11)

7 BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING/S

7.1 Business Arising

8 MATTERS OF PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

9 DEPUTATIONS AND BRIEFINGS

Nil
10 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

10.1 Closure to the Public for Confidential Items
Common No. 1944604

THAT pursuant to Section 65 (2) of the Local Government Act and Regulation 8 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations the meeting be closed to the public to consider the Confidential matters referred from Committees including Confidential Committee Items, and the following Items:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C22.1</td>
<td>8(c)(ii)</td>
<td>Information that would, if publicly disclosed, be likely to prejudice the maintenance or administration of the law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24.1</td>
<td>8(c)(ii)</td>
<td>Information that would, if publicly disclosed, be likely to prejudice the maintenance or administration of the law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C26.1</td>
<td>8(c)(iv)</td>
<td>Information that would, if publicly disclosed, be likely to prejudice the interests of the council or some other person.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DECISION NO.20\() (06/04/11)

10.2 Moving Open Items Into Confidential

10.3 Moving Confidential Items Into Open
11 PETITIONS

Nil

12 NOTICES OF MOTION

Nil
13 OFFICERS REPORTS

13.1 Appointment of Returning Officer - 2012 Council Elections
Report No. 11TC0019 (06/04/11) Common No. 1960151

Report Number 11TC0019 attached.
ITEM NO: 13.1

SYNOPSIS:

Pursuant to Section 64 of the Local Government (Electoral) Regulations, Council must appoint a Returning Officer by 1 July 2011 (Attachment A).

The next general Council election will be held on Saturday 24 March 2012 (Attachment B) and this report recommends that Council appoint the person filling the position of Northern Territory Electoral Commissioner as the Returning Officer.

GENERAL:

As set out above, Council must appoint a Returning Officer by 1 July 2011 for the general election to be held on 24 March 2011.

The Returning Officer generally undertakes the following:

1. Production of electoral rolls;
2. Calling for nominations, conduct polling, counting of votes, declaration of results, the service of notices to those voters who failed to vote and a schedule of voters without acceptable explanations for not voting;
3. All statutory advertising, venue hire, staffing and other costs associated with the election.

For a very long period of time, Council has utilised the services of the Northern Territory Electoral Office/Commission to conduct elections. In 2008 Council sought and received three fee offers for the conduct of the general election.

The NT Electoral Commission (NTEC) was appointed as it had the demonstrated skills, local knowledge, experience and resources and had submitted the lowest price.
In relation to pricing, the NTEC has advised that it does not charge its commercial rates to councils for local government elections but rather only the marginal costs that it actually incurs in conducting the poll. This means that councils are charged on a reimbursement basis for only the additional costs incurred by the Commission in running the election. As a consequence, councils in the NT receive the benefit of economies of scale for generic services and are not charged for items such as the following:

- Work undertaken by NTEC permanent staff in normal hours, including overhead charges for superannuation, leave accruals;
- Work undertaken by NTEC executive staff anytime;
- Cardboard election equipment and other election specialist stores;
- Indirect overhead expenses, including infrastructural expenses for the agency’s premises, vehicles, phones, costs of payroll processing undertaken by DBE for NTEC etc;
- Standard election management fees;
- Use of computerised counting software and other specialised counting equipment;
- In house printing and local government forms, material and stationery from existing stock;
- Staff time devoted to election planning and council liaison undertaken between elections;
- Any legal advice acquired through its in-house legal arrangements.

Based on the demonstrated skills, local knowledge, experience, resources and pricing structure it is recommended that Council appoint the NT Electoral Commissioner as the Returning Officer for the 2012 general election.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:**

The estimated cost for the services of the NTEC is $170,000. Additional promotion and advertising by Darwin City Council, over and above the statutory advertising undertaken by the NTEC is estimated to be $20,000.

$127,465 is currently available from the Election Expense Reserve.
STRATEGIC PLAN IMPLICATIONS:

The issues addressed in this Report are in accordance with the following Goals/Strategies of the Darwin City Council 2008 – 2012 as outlined in the ‘Evolving Darwin Strategic Directions: Towards 2020 and Beyond’:-

**Goal**
7 Demonstrate Effective, Open and Responsible Governance

**Outcome**
7.1 Effective governance

**Goal**
7 Demonstrate Effective, Open and Responsible Governance

**Outcome**
7.2 Display strong and effective leadership, within Council and across Government

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS:

Chapter 8 of the Local Government Act sets out the requirements for Elections and polls.

Section 64 of the Local Government (Electoral) Regulations states:

A council may appoint a returning officer at any time and must ensure that the council has appointed a returning officer by 1 July of the calendar year preceding the calendar year in which the next general election is to be held.

Note: The Minister may appoint a returning officer for an election (or by-election) under section 96(2) of the Act. This power may well be exercised if the council fails to make an appointment. If there is no appointed returning officer, the council’s CEO is the returning officer (see the definition of returning officer in section 3 of the Act).

Confirmation has been sought and obtained from the Department of Housing, Local Government and Regional Services, that tenders do not need to be called for the appointment of a Returning Officer.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.
PUBLIC RELATIONS IMPLICATIONS:

Advertising and promotion will be required in the lead up to the March 2012 general election.

COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.

DELEGATION:

Nil.

CONSULTATION:

Northern Territory Electoral Commission.

PROPOSED PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS:

Statutory advertising will be conducted as part role of the appointed Returning Officer.

APPROPRIATE SIGNAGE

Nil

RECOMMENDATIONS:

A. THAT Report Number 11TC0019 entitled Appointment Of Returning Officer - 2012 Council Elections, be received and noted.

B. THAT, pursuant to Section 64 of the Local Government (Electoral) Regulations, Council appoint the person filling the position of Northern Territory Electoral Commissioner as the Returning Officer for the 2012 Darwin City Council general election.

BRENDAN DOWD
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Any queries on this report may be directed to Brendan Dowd on 89 300505 or b.dowd@darwin.nt.gov.au
Mr Brendan Dowd
Chief Executive Officer
Darwin City Council
GPO Box 84
DARWIN NT 0801

Dear Mr Dowd

RE: Appointment of Returning Officer for 2012 Local Government General Elections

The next local government general elections are due to be held on a date to be fixed in March 2012.

Councils are required to have appointed a returning officer to conduct those elections by 1 July 2011.

Section 3 of the Local Government Act defines a returning officer as follows:

Returning officer, for a council, means:

(a) a person appointed by the council to the office of returning officer or currently acting in that office; or

(b) if no person currently holds or acts in the office of returning officer but the Minister has appointed a person as returning officer for the council – the person appointed by the Minister; or

(c) in any other case – the CEO

Section 64 of the Local Government (Electoral) Regulations further states:

A council may appoint a returning officer at any time and must ensure that the council has appointed a returning officer by 1 July of the calendar year preceding the calendar year in which the next general election is to be held.

Note: The Minister may appoint a returning officer for an election (or by-election) under section 96 (2) of the Act. This power may well be exercised if the council fails to make an appointment. If there is no appointed returning officer, the council's CEO is the returning officer. (See the definition of returning officer in section 3 of the Act).

The Electoral Commissioner of the Northern Territory Electoral Commission (NTEC) has already been formally appointed by a small number of councils for the 2012 general elections whilst a number of others have indicated an intention to do so. However, the Commission needs to have a complete understanding of the intentions of all councils as early as possible and, until this occurs, it is not in a position to provide accurate estimates of charges to councils or begin the detailed planning that is required for such a large scale event.

www.ntec.nt.gov.au
Councils are reminded that the NTEC does not charge its commercial rates to councils for local government elections but rather only the marginal costs that it actually incurs in conducting the poll. This means that councils are charged on a reimbursement basis for only the additional costs incurred by the Commission in running the election. As a consequence, councils receive the benefits of economies of scale for generic services and are not charged for items such as the following:

- Work undertaken by NTEC permanent staff in normal hours, including overhead charges for superannuation, leave accruals;
- Work undertaken by NTEC executive staff anytime;
- Cardboard election equipment and other election specialist stores;
- Indirect overhead expenses, including infrastructural expenses for the agency’s premises, vehicles, phones, costs of payroll processing undertaken by DBE for NTEC etc;
- Standard election management fees;
- Use of computerised counting software and other specialised counting equipment;
- In house printing and local government forms, material and stationery from existing stock;
- Staff time devoted to election planning and council liaison undertaken between elections;
- Any legal advice acquired through its in-house legal arrangements.

Councils should also note that the 2012 general elections will be the largest and most complicated election ever run in the Northern Territory’s history. It will involve all municipalities and shires and will include up to around 60 separate polls across the Northern Territory, attracting more than 300 candidate nominations. Mobile polling will also operate extensively and absent voting for all NT electorates will need to be made available at all polling places (including mobile polling places) appointed for the general elections. Computerised counting will also be required in most of the electorates that go to poll.

I would therefore be most grateful if you would, at your earliest convenience, either confirm that your council has appointed the Electoral Commissioner of the NTEC as your Returning Officer or advise the NTEC of your council’s intentions and plans regarding such appointment. Your confirmation or advice can be conveyed by means of a brief email to the Deputy Electoral Commissioner, Jane Peace (Jane.Peace@nt.gov.au).

Thank you in anticipation of your prompt reply.

Yours sincerely

BILL SHEPHEARD
Electoral Commissioner

www.ntec.nt.gov.au

EVERY vote counts!
Mr Graeme Sawyer  
The Right Worshipful Lord Mayor of Darwin  
Darwin City Council  
GPO Box 84  
DARWIN NT 0801

Dear Lord Mayor

I am pleased to advise that I have gazetted the next local government general election date, pursuant to section 85(2) of the Local Government Act.

The election date will be Saturday 24 March 2012.

I note that section 39(5)(a) of the Local Government Act provides:

if a casual vacancy occurs within 12 months or less before the next general election, the council may (in accordance with its policy) co-opt a person to fill the vacancy until the next general election.

This provision will apply to any vacancy that occurs on or after Friday 25 March 2011. Alternatively, a by-election may be held to fill a vacancy.

I look forward to working with the local government sector in the lead up to the elections in March 2012, especially since these will be the first elections held at the same time for local government across the Northern Territory.

Yours sincerely

MALARNDIRRI McCARTHY

24 MAR 2011
13 OFFICERS REPORTS

13.2 Constitutional Recognition of Local Government
Report No. 10TC0024 BD (07/04/11) Common No. 1849292

Report Number 10TC0024 BD attached.
SYNOPSIS:

2013 is proposed as the year in which a referendum question is to be put to the Australian community on the issue of the recognition of local government in the Australian Constitution. It will more than likely take place in conjunction with a Federal election with the text of the question hopefully being to include the words ‘and local government’ into Section 96 so that it reads:

*During a period of 10 years after the establishment of the Commonwealth and thereafter until the Parliament otherwise provides, the Parliament may grant financial assistance to any State and local government on such terms and conditions as the Parliament thinks fit.*

The President of the Australian Local Government Association (ALGA), Councillor Genia McCaffrey has requested that Councils write to the Prime Minister, Leader of the Opposition and Northern Territory members of the Australian Parliament seeking their support on this matter.

This report recommends that Council provides endorsement and seeks support from Federal and Northern Territory political groups.
GENERAL:

In relation to the issue of constitutional recognition of local government, ALGA has requested that Councils pass the following general resolution:

Darwin City Council declares its support for financial recognition of local government in the Australian Constitution so that the Federal Government has the power to fund local government directly and also for inclusion of local government in any new Preamble to the Constitution if one is proposed and calls on all political parties to support a referendum by 2013 to change the Constitution to achieve this recognition.

A background paper produced by ALGA is contained in (Attachment A).

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Nil at this time.

STRATEGIC PLAN IMPLICATIONS:

The issues addressed in this Report are in accordance with the following Goals/Strategies of the Darwin City Council 2008 – 2012 as outlined in the ‘Evolving Darwin Strategic Directions: Towards 2020 and Beyond’:-

Goal
7 Demonstrate Effective, Open and Responsible Governance

Outcome

7.1 Effective governance

7.2 Display strong and effective leadership, within Council and across Government

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS:

Constitutional recognition in the form proposed is directed towards recognition of local government in any new Preamble to the Constitution and would grant the power for the Federal Government to fund local government directly.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS:

Nil.
PUBLIC RELATIONS IMPLICATIONS:
Various.

COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS:
Nil.

DELEGATION:
Nil.

CONSULTATION:
Nil.

PROPOSED PUBLIC CONSULTATION PROCESS:
Nil.

APPROPRIATE SIGNAGE
Nil.
RECOMMENDATIONS:

A. THAT Report Number 11TC0024 BD:JT entitled Constitutional Recognition of Local Government, be received and noted.

B. THAT Darwin City Council declares its support for financial recognition of local government in the Australian Constitution so that the Federal Government has the power to fund local government directly and also for inclusion of local government in any new Preamble to the Constitution if one is proposed and calls on all political parties to support a referendum by 2013 to change the Constitution to achieve this recognition.

B. THAT Council write to:

- the Prime Minister;
- the Leader of the Opposition;
- the Leader of the Greens;
- Northern Territory members of the Australian Parliament;
- the Chief Minister;
- the Leader of the Northern Territory Opposition.

seeking their support for the constitutional recognition of local government.

BRENDAN DOWD
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Any queries on this report may be directed to Brendan Dowd on 89300505 or b.dowd@darwin.nt.gov.au
CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Explanatory Notes for fact sheets contained in the Council Resource Kit

A Resource for the Facilitators of Council Conversations
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Prologue – Determining what Constitutional Recognition of Local Government is

Local government fulfils a significant and increasingly important role within the Australian Federation, a fact acknowledged by the Commonwealth through local government’s inclusion in the Council of Australian Government (COAG), and in other key Ministerial Councils.

Despite this, Australia is yet to follow the lead of many other nations and recognise the roles and functions of local government in our national constitution.

The failure to recognise local government remains one of the significant omissions of the Australian Constitution.

This failure to recognise local government and its role as the primary institution of local democracy has been highlighted in recent times by unilateral state government action without appropriate consultation.

Local government views the 2006 Federal Parliament Resolution on Local Government as an important stepping stone towards the longer-term goal of full constitutional recognition.

In this context, the election of a new Federal Government on 24 November 2007 was an important development.

The Australian Labor Party went into the election with a platform commitment to constitutional recognition of local government.

It promised:

> An important aspect of reform of the federation is to recognise and make more efficient the work of the third tier: local government. Labor has committed to a Council of Australian Local Governments to assist local government representatives to have a more effective voice at COAG. One of the first tasks of the new Council will be to develop a plan for a national referendum on the constitutional recognition of local government.1

This offers a golden opportunity for the local government sector to achieve some degree of constitutional recognition – something long wished by the sector.

Members of the Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) have reaffirmed ALGA’s wish to have local government recognised in the Australian Constitution, a view activated at successive general assemblies.

For example, the 2003 National General Assembly of Local Government resolved:

> That the ALGA seek support for the principle from all political parties and the cooperation of the State and Federal Governments in achieving constitutional recognition for local government and
further that the Australian Local Government Association approach the Federal Government with a view to having a referendum held in conjunction with the next Federal election to canvass the constitutional recognition of local government.2

It also passed the *Principles of Local Democracy*. They included:

1. Local Government seek constitutional recognition in the Australian Constitution

2. Local Government calls for the immediate establishment of a national *constitutional convention* to specifically consider constitutional recognition of local government and review the efficiency, effectiveness and responsibilities of the three spheres of government.

3. A broad competence power must be granted to all local government authorities in Australia so that those authorities may respond to the needs of their communities in the most appropriate manner. There must be no limits imposed by other governments on the performance of local government’s legitimate activities.

4. The Federal Government should recognise the legitimacy and independence of local government and must not interfere directly or indirectly in local government boundary issues.

5. State governments should not have the right to dismiss duly elected councils. Where the report of a properly constituted investigation so recommends, a Council may be suspended provided it has been afforded the opportunity to respond to such a report, but a duly elected council must be reinstated as soon as possible and no later than six months from the time of the suspension.

Australian states have recognised local government in their respective constitutions in this manner:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New South Wales</th>
<th>Victoria</th>
<th>Queensland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 8 Local Government</strong></td>
<td><strong>Part IIA Local Government</strong></td>
<td><strong>Chapter 7 – Local Government</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>51. Local Government</strong></td>
<td><strong>74A. Local Government</strong></td>
<td><strong>Part 1 – System of Local Government</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) There shall continue to be a system of local government for the State under which duly elected or duly appointed local government bodies are constituted with responsibilities for acting for the better government of those parts of the State that are from time to time subject to that system of local government.</td>
<td>(1) Local government is a distinct and essential tier of government consisting of democratically elected Councils having the functions and powers that the Parliament considers are necessary to ensure the peace, order and good government of each municipal district.</td>
<td>(1) There must be a system of local government in Queensland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) The manner in which local government bodies are constituted and the nature and extent of their powers, authorities, duties and functions shall be as determined by or in accordance with laws of the Legislature.</td>
<td>(1A) Subject to section 74B, each Council—</td>
<td>(2) The system consists of a number of local governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) The reference in subsection (2) to laws of the Legislature shall be read as a reference to laws that have been enacted by the Legislature, whether before or after the commencement of this section, and that are for the time being in force.</td>
<td>(a) is responsible for the governance of the area designated by its municipal boundaries; and</td>
<td><strong>71 Requirements for a Local Government</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) For the purposes of this section, the Western Lands Commissioner, the Lord Howe Island Board, and an administrator with all or any of the functions of a local government body, shall be deemed to be local government bodies.</td>
<td>(b) is constituted by democratically elected Councillors as the governing body which is—</td>
<td>(1) A local government is an elected body that is charged with the good rule and local government of a part of Queensland allocated to the body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(i) accountable for its decisions and actions; and</td>
<td>(2) Another Act, whenever made, may provide for the way in which a local government is constituted and the nature and extent of its functions and powers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) responsible for ensuring good governance; and</td>
<td>(3) Despite subsection (1), another Act, whenever made, may provide for the appointment of 1 or more bodies or persons to perform all or any of a local government’s functions and to exercise all or any of a local government’s powers and to be taken to be a local government—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
constituted in respect of any area in Victoria—
(a) which is not significantly and
permanently populated; or
(b) in which the functions of local
government are carried out by or under
arrangements made by a public statutory
body which is carrying on large-scale
operations in the area.

74B. Local Government Laws

(1) Parliament may make any laws it
considers necessary for or with respect to—
(a) the constitution of Councils; and
(b) the objectives, functions, powers,
duties and responsibilities of Councils; and
(c) entitlement to vote and enrolment
for elections of Councils; and
(d) the conduct of and voting at
elections of Councils; and
(e) the counting of votes at elections
of Councils; and
(f) the qualifications to be a
Councillor; and
(g) the disqualification of a person
from being or continuing to be a Councillor; and
(h) the powers, duties and
responsibilities of Councillors and Council
staff; and
government’s councillors under section 74;
or
(b) if a local government is dissolved or
unable to be properly elected—until a local
government has been properly elected.

(4) In subsection (3)— “local government”
includes a joint local government.

Part 2 – Procedure Limiting Dissolution of
Local Government and Interim
Arrangement

72 Definition for pt 2,

In this part—
“Minister” means the Minister who
administers the provision under which the
local government may be dissolved.

73 Dissolution of local government must
be tabled

The Minister must, within 14 days after an
instrument purporting to dissolve a local
government is made, table a copy of the
instrument in the Legislative Assembly.

74 Suspension until dissolution ratified
(i) any other act, matter or thing relating to local government administration.

(2) A Council cannot be dismissed except by an Act of Parliament relating to the Council.

(3) Parliament may make laws for or with respect to—
   (a) the suspension of a Council; and
   (b) the administration of a Council during a period in which the Council is suspended or dismissed; and
   (c) the re-instatement of a Council which has been suspended; and
   (d) the election of a Council if a suspended Council is not re-instated; and
   (e) the election of a Council where a Council has been dismissed.

From the time an instrument purporting to dissolve a local government is made until it is ratified under section 75 or its effect ends under section 76, it has the effect only of suspending the local government’s councilors from office.

Note—
Section 71 permits another Act to provide for the appointment of 1 or more bodies or persons to perform all or any functions and exercise all or any powers of the local government and to be taken to be the local government during the suspension.

75 Ratification of dissolution

(1) The Legislative Assembly, on the Minister’s motion, may ratify the dissolution of the local government within 14 sitting days after a copy of the instrument purporting to dissolve the local government is tabled.

(2) If the Legislative Assembly ratifies the dissolution, the local government is dissolved in accordance with the instrument from the time of ratification.

76 No tabling or ratification of dissolution

(1) This section applies if—
   (a) a copy of the instrument purporting to dissolve the local government is not tabled under section 73; or
(b) the Legislative Assembly refuses to ratify the dissolution of a local government moved by the Minister; or
(c) at the end of 14 sitting days after a copy of the instrument purporting to dissolve the local government is tabled

(i) the Minister has not moved that the dissolution be ratified; or
(ii) the Legislative Assembly has not ratified the dissolution, even though the Minister has moved that it be ratified.

(2) The effect of the instrument purporting to dissolve the local government ends.

(3) The suspension from office of the local government’s councilors ends and they are reinstated in their respective offices.

(4) The appointment of a body or person appointed to perform all or any functions and exercise all or any powers of the local government because of its purported dissolution ends.

Part 3 – Special Procedures for Particular Local Government Bills

77 Procedure for Bill affecting a local government

(1) This section applies for a Bill for an Act that would—
(a) be administered by a Minister who administers a provision of the *Local Government Act 1993*; and
(b) affect local governments generally or any of them.

(2) The member of the Legislative Assembly who proposes to introduce the Bill in the Legislative Assembly must, if the member considers it practicable, arrange for a summary of the Bill to be given to a body representing local governments in the State a reasonable time before the Bill is introduced in the Legislative Assembly.

### 78 Procedure for Bill ending system of local government

(1) This section applies for a Bill for an Act ending the system of local government in Queensland.

(2) The Bill may be presented for assent only if a proposal that the system of local government should end has been approved by a majority vote of the electors voting on the proposal.

(3) The Bill has no effect as an Act if assented to after presentation in contravention of subsection (2).

(4) The vote about the proposal must be
taken on a day that is more than 1 month but less than 6 months before the Bill is introduced in the Legislative Assembly.
(5) The vote must be taken in the way prescribed by an Act.
(6) An elector may bring a proceeding in the Supreme Court for a declaration, injunction or other remedy to enforce this section either before or after the Bill is presented for assent.
(7) In this section—“elector” means a person entitled to vote at a general election for members of the Legislative Assembly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>South Australia</th>
<th>Western Australia</th>
<th>Tasmania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>64A—Constitutional guarantee of continuance of local government in this State</strong></td>
<td><strong>52. Elected local governing bodies</strong></td>
<td><strong>Part IVA – Local Government</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) There shall continue to be a system of local government in this State under which elected local governing bodies are constituted with such powers as the Parliament considers necessary for the better government of those areas of the State that are from time to time subject to that system of local government.</td>
<td>(1) The Legislature shall maintain a system of local governing bodies elected and constituted in such manner as the Legislature may from time to time provide.</td>
<td>(1) There shall be in Tasmania a system of local government with municipal councils elected in such manner as Parliament may from time to time provide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) The manner in which local governing bodies are constituted, and the nature and extent of their powers, functions, duties and</td>
<td>(2) Each elected local governing body shall have such powers as the Legislature may from time to time provide being such powers as the Legislature considers necessary for the better government of the area in respect of which the body is constituted.</td>
<td>(2) Each municipality shall have such powers as Parliament may from time to time provide, being such powers as Parliament considers necessary for the welfare and good government of the area in respect of which the municipality is constituted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>53. Certain laws not affected</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constitutional Recognition Explanatory Notes
responsibilities shall be determined by or under Acts of the Parliament from time to time in force.

(3) No Bill by virtue of which this State would cease to have a system of local government that conforms with subsection (1) of this section shall be presented to the Governor for assent unless the Bill has been passed by an absolute majority of the members of each House of Parliament.

Section 52 does not affect the operation of any law —
(a) prescribing circumstances in which the offices of members of a local governing body shall become and remain vacant; or
(b) providing for the administration of any area of the State —
(i) to which the system maintained under that section does not for the time being extend; or
(ii) when the offices of all the members of the local governing body for that area are vacant; or
(c) limiting or otherwise affecting the operation of a law relating to local government; or
(d) conferring any power relating to local government on a person other than a duly constituted local governing body.

45B. Certain laws not affected

Section 45A does not affect the operation of any law —
(a) prescribing circumstances in which the offices of members of a municipal council shall become and remain vacant; or
(b) providing for the administration of any area of the State —
(i) to which the system referred to in that section does not for the time being extend; or
(ii) when the offices of all the members of the municipal council for that area are vacant; or
(c) conferring any power relating to local government on a person other than a municipal council.

45C. Municipal areas

Any division of Tasmania into municipal areas is not to be altered without the recommendation of the Local Government Board established under the Local Government Act 1993.
However, despite these provisions, the sector has always suffered from the spectre of forced amalgamations or dismissals by State Governments. The recent amalgamations in Queensland illustrate this.

Local government has also suffered significantly from cost shifting – where state and federal governments expect local government to provide infrastructure and services ranging from roads to services provided under schemes such as the Health and Community Care Program (HACC). This was something recognised by the now well known Hawker Report.3

Now is the time for local government to take the best chance in a generation to have the sector recognised in the Constitution.

It is therefore incumbent on local government to determine precisely what it would like to see reflected in the Constitution – would it like to see:

- symbolic recognition – a reference in the preamble to the Constitution recognising the existence of local government in local government;
- institutional recognition – imposing a duty on States to retain a system of local government, and that a council ought not be amalgamated or dissolved without fair hearing; or
- financial recognition – a recognition that local government requires a more secure revenue stream from the Commonwealth to provide the services and infrastructure expected by the community.

This resource document:

- is designed to assist the conversations to be held within the local government sector as it determines how it wishes to see local government recognised in Australia’s most important political document;
- sets out some basic facts about the Constitution, as well as how constitutional amendments can be made; and
- finally, provides some information and some things to bear in mind when considering precisely what change should be proposed to the Constitution.
What is the Constitution?

The Australian Constitution commenced operation on 1 January 1901, it:

- creates a new set of central government authorities – starting with the Parliament, the Public Service and the Armed Forces - and outlines their functions and powers;
- recognises the existence of the six Australian colonies of Great Britain as they were in 1900, and confers on these colonies the status of States. It also allows for the continuation of the States, their constitutions, government arrangements and bodies of law, except as these are changed by the new constitution;
- regulates the relations between the Commonwealth and the States;
- establishes an Australian common market – free trade between the States and a common external customs tariff; and
- makes some express and implied provisions concerning the relation of the individual citizen to the Commonwealth government, and to a lesser extent, State governments. An example of this sort of power is the acquisition of property by the government on just terms. 4

The way the Constitution is drafted gives rise to three classes of powers:

- the exclusive powers of the Commonwealth, which gives the Commonwealth a monopoly to make laws, or do things. An example of this is the power to coin money; 5
- the concurrent powers – section 51 lists subject areas where both the Australian and states can make laws. However, if the Commonwealth makes a law, the state law yields. An example of this is how the WorkChoices legislation, in which the previous government used the power to regulate corporations to largely supersede state level workplace relations legislation 6; and
- the residuary legislative powers – everything else which is not listed. These remain the responsibility of the States.

Responsibility for local government is not mentioned anywhere in the Constitution, and is therefore the responsibility of the states.

The High Court has read the concurrent powers contained in section 51 widely. 7

This means the Commonwealth has the power to make laws in many areas that are not immediately apparent on a first reading of the document.

For example, the power to make laws with respect to ‘foreign affairs’ was used by the Commonwealth to pass a law allowing the Australian Electoral Commission to conduct plebiscites to measure support for council amalgamations in Queensland to protect the human rights of:

- holding opinions without interference;
• to ensure a right of freedom of expression and
• to take part in the conduct of affairs, directly or through freely chosen representatives.\textsuperscript{8}

The Commonwealth has also used its powers to make laws with respect to taxation to effectively squeeze out the capacity of the States to impose taxes on incomes.

This has created what is called ‘vertical fiscal imbalance’ – where central government controls revenue raising while spending responsibilities rest with other spheres of government.

Finally, the Commonwealth can use the Budget to directly fund projects, on the basis of terms determined by the Commonwealth.

The Roads to Recovery program is an example.\textsuperscript{9}

All this has meant:

• that the states and local government are largely dependent upon the Commonwealth’s allocation of funds to fulfil their responsibilities; with,
• this funding dependence allowing the Commonwealth to implement policies in areas where it cannot directly legislate.\textsuperscript{10}

It is in this context that local government seeks to be recognised by Australia’s paramount political document – the Constitution.
How to change the Constitution

The Constitution can only be changed in the following manner.

A Bill must pass through the House of Representatives and the Senate by an absolute majority – that is, 50% of all members of the House of Representatives and the Senate must approve the proposed constitutional amendment.

This practically means that there can be no constitutional change without the support of the government of the day, as it controls the business of the parliament.

The question must then go to a referendum.

A majority of voters in a majority of Australian voters must support the measure.

A majority of states must also support the measure – that is 4 out of the 6 states must vote yes. The two territories do not count as states for this purpose, although votes in the territories do count towards the overall majority. This is what is called the double majority.

The referendum is to be held throughout Australia on the same day.11

Within 4 weeks of a referendum question being passed by the Parliament, the Electoral Commissioner must publish a booklet containing:

- an argument of less that 2000 words approved by: the majority of parliamentarians who voted ‘yes’ to the proposed referendum question; and

- an argument of less that 2000 words approved by: the majority of parliamentarians who voted ‘no’ to the proposed referendum question.12

The Australian Government is otherwise prevented by law from supporting either the yes or no case. So the government cannot spend money to advocate either a ‘yes’ or ‘no’ case.

The voter must vote either ‘yes’ or ‘no’ to the question put in the ballot paper, which is the title of the Bill that passed the Parliament.

This is what a ballot paper looks like:
Commonwealth of Australia

BALLOT-PAPERS

[ Here insert name of State or Territory ]

Referendums on proposed Constitution alterations

DIRECTIONS TO VOTER

Write ‘YES’ or ‘NO’ in the space provided opposite each of the questions set out below.

__________________________________________________________

1. [ Here set out the title of the first proposed law ]

DO YOU APPROVE THIS PROPOSED ALTERATION? ☐

__________________________________________________________

2. [ Here set out the title of the second proposed law ]

DO YOU APPROVE THIS PROPOSED ALTERATION? ☐

Voting is compulsory.

If the double majority is achieved, the Constitution is amended.

There have been previous attempts to recognise local government in the Constitution. They are discussed in the next chapter.
The Track Record of Constitutional Recognition of Local Government

1973/1974

The first attempt at amending the Constitution to recognise local government was made on 8 November 1973, when the Whitlam Government introduced the Constitutional Alteration (Local Government Bodies) Bill into Federal Parliament.

The Bill proposed adding a pl. 51(ivA) to the Constitution, which read:

(ivA.) The borrowing of money by the Commonwealth for local government bodies.

It also proposed adding a new s.96A, which read:

96A. The Parliament may grant financial assistance to any local government body on such terms and conditions as the Parliament thinks fit.

These provisions would allow the Commonwealth to fund local government in the same way it can the states.

Whilst not giving local government taxing powers, it:

- implicitly recognised the fact that there are local government areas; and
- unambiguously allowed the Federal Government to directly fund local government, without ‘passing’ grants through state governments and Local Government Grants Commissions.¹³

In introducing the measure, the Prime Minister (Mr Whitlam) said:

It was high time an Australian Government used its authority and resources to make it easier for local governments to have access to the funds they need to help the people in relation to their streets, drains, sewerage and other local civic services to which as taxpayers they are entitled. The Australian Government is wholly committed to this. As I said in my policy speech last year:

Let there be no mistake about Labor’s determination to make local government a genuine partner in the Federal system.¹⁴

The Bill was opposed by the Liberal and Country parties in the House of Representatives.

The position of the Opposition is made clear in the House of Representatives speech of the Leader of the Opposition (Mr Snedden) when he said:

The third Bill, the Constitutional Alteration (Local Government Bodies) Bill 1974 (No.2) is fraudulent because it states that the proposed alteration is just a mere provision to borrow money for local government and to grant money for local government. If honourable members read the title of the Bill it indicates nothing about conditions being imposed, interest rates being high or for wiping out State governments. For that reason, it is fraudulent.¹⁵
The following table sets out the result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number on rolls</th>
<th>Ballot papers issued</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Informal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td>2 834 558</td>
<td>2 702 903</td>
<td>1 350 274</td>
<td>1 308 039</td>
<td>135 274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>2 161 474</td>
<td>2 070 893</td>
<td>961 664</td>
<td>1 068 120</td>
<td>41 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>1 154 762</td>
<td>1 098 401</td>
<td>473 465</td>
<td>610 537</td>
<td>14 399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>750 308</td>
<td>722 434</td>
<td>298 489</td>
<td>403 479</td>
<td>20 466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>612 016</td>
<td>577 989</td>
<td>229 337</td>
<td>334 529</td>
<td>14 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>246 596</td>
<td>237 891</td>
<td>93 495</td>
<td>140 073</td>
<td>4 323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>7 759 714</strong></td>
<td><strong>7 410 511</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 406 724</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 864 777</strong></td>
<td><strong>139 010</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obtained majority in one State and an overall minority of 458 053 votes

1976, 1985 and 1988

There was a Constitutional Convention in 1976.

It passed a resolution which, amongst other things, invited the States to consider formal recognition of local government in State Constitutions.

By 1985, three of the six states had recognised local government in their constitutions.

In that year, a constitutional convention was convened by the Hawke Government.

The Convention had before it a proposed Declaration as to the Principles to be Applied in the Constitutional Operation and Regulation of Local Government Authorities in Australia, that was attached to Local Government Report of the Convention Structure of Government Sub-Committee.16

The declaration read:

Recognising the fundamental role of local government in the system of local government in Australia:

Recognising the value of local government in ensuring that local communities may participate to the maximum extent in the management and regulation of their districts:

Desiring to promote continuing intergovernmental co-operation and further to acknowledge the principles which should be applicable to local government do hereby declare that:-

(1) Within every jurisdiction in Australia there be a system of local government.
(2) The system extend to all areas in which a sufficient number of people reside to warrant a local authority in their area.

(3) Except in special circumstances the local authority be elected by all adults resident – but not as to exclude property owners – in the area administered by the local authority.

(4) Local authorities be granted adequate powers and the right to manage and regulate the affairs of the local community within the framework of the laws applying to such local authorities.

(5) Each local authority be provided with access to adequate funds to enable it to perform its function with equity and efficiency.

(6) A local authority not be subject to arbitrary dismissal or suspension

The declaration set out the issues that still face local government today.

The Convention endorsed a constitutional amendment proposed by the Australian Council of Local Government Associations (as ALGA was then called), which read:

Subject to such terms and conditions as the Parliament of a State or the Northern Territory or in respect of any other Territory the Parliament of the Commonwealth may from time to time determine every State and Territory of the Commonwealth shall provide for the establishment and continuance of Local Government bodies elected in accordance with such laws and charged with the peace order and good government of the local areas for which they are elected. Each such Local Government body shall have the powers to make by-laws for the peace order and goods government of its area to the extent and in accordance with the laws prescribed by the respective Parliaments in that behalf.17

A Constitutional Commission was created after the Convention. The Government accepted the recommendation from it suggesting that local government be constitutionally recognised.

This is because the Commission advised:

- it was time for local government to be recognised as the third sphere of government;
- local government had been in existence since Federation; and
- its scope had grown markedly in scope and importance since.18

The Government accordingly proposed a section 119A be added to the Constitution, reading:

119A. Each State shall provide for the establishment and continuance of a system of local government, with local government bodies elected in accordance with the laws of the State and empowered to administer, and make by-laws for, their respective areas in accordance with the laws of the State.
The explanatory memorandum to the Constitution Alteration (Local Government) Bill 1988 said:

The constitutional obligation will be to establish and continue a system of local government. The essential elements of the system will be elected local government bodies empowered to administer and to make by-laws for their respective areas. It will be a matter for State Parliaments to determine the manner of elections, the area to be covered by each local government body, the manner of administration and the manner of exercise and the extent of the power to make by-laws. Section 119A is not intended to preclude local government bodies to be amalgamated with other such bodies. Nor is it intended to preclude laws providing for the dismissal of a local government in appropriate circumstances, subject to a new local government body being elected within a reasonable period. (emphasis in the original)

It proposed ‘institutional’ protection:

- similar in structure to; and
- as practically effective as

the recognition offered local government in state constitutions.

The Liberal/National Party opposed the amendment.

Presenting a no case on behalf of the Opposition in 1988, Senator Alston (Lib Vic) quoted an observation of the (then) recently retired Chief Justice of the High Court (Sir Harry Gibbs) as saying:

The history of constitutional interpretation in Australia and the United States shows that it is impossible to predict what meaning will, in the future, be given to any provision of the Constitution which is expressed in words of generality. That is not necessarily bad but gives the courtroom to adapt the Constitution as philosophies and situations alter. But it also means that one cannot safely assume that any general provision will have no more than a symbolic effect. One can understand how some may feel that a constitutional provision may in time prove to be the nether millstone on which the power of the States will be ground exceedingly small; the upperstone, the Commonwealth, being held firmly in place.19

The proposed amendment laws were put forward in a referendum on 3 September 1988 and lost heavily. This was the result:
Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number on rolls</th>
<th>Ballot papers issued</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Informal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New South Wales</td>
<td>3 564 856</td>
<td>3 297 246</td>
<td>1 033 364</td>
<td>31.70</td>
<td>2 226 529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>2 697 096</td>
<td>2 491 183</td>
<td>882 020</td>
<td>36.06</td>
<td>1 563 957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>1 693 247</td>
<td>1 542 293</td>
<td>586 942</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>945 333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Australia</td>
<td>937 974</td>
<td>873 511</td>
<td>256 421</td>
<td>29.85</td>
<td>602 499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>926 636</td>
<td>845 209</td>
<td>247 830</td>
<td>29.76</td>
<td>584 863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>302 324</td>
<td>282 785</td>
<td>76 707</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>202 214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Capital Territory</td>
<td>166 131</td>
<td>149 128</td>
<td>58 755</td>
<td>39.78</td>
<td>88 945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Territory</td>
<td>74 695</td>
<td>56 370</td>
<td>21 449</td>
<td>38.80</td>
<td>33 826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10 362 959</td>
<td>9 537 725</td>
<td>3 163 488</td>
<td>33.61</td>
<td>6 248 166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obtained majority in no State and an overall minority of 3 084 678 votes.

Some lessons learnt:

- there have been 44 Referendums since 1906
- the success rate is 8/36 (22%)
- whilst times might have changed, most unsuccessful referenda have failed because they centralise power in ‘Canberra’.

A document, which excellently discusses the reasons why referenda failed, is the Parliamentary Research Service publication called *The Politics of Constitutional Amendment*.20

It notes some of the reasons referenda lose including:

- government clumsiness – proposing a number of reforms in one question so that if you don’t like one of them, you are obliged to vote against the lot;
- party politics – with the ALP generally supporting enlarged Commonwealth powers, and the coalition parties (as constituted from time to time) opposing them;
- asking too many questions at once – prompting naysayers to suggest to voters to just vote NO;
• trying to do too much at the one time;
• strong political opponents (including States) advocating opposition; and
• political context (or perhaps the wrong question at the same time) – it was suggested the 1944 ‘14 powers’ referendum, which proposed significant transfers of power to the Commonwealth was defeated because of waning support for wartime controls and a concern about ‘too much bureaucracy’.

Therefore, to maximise the chances of success at a constitutional referendum, it is incumbent on local government to find a set of words that:

• can be supported by both sides of politics – it is noted that whilst the Liberal Party platform supports the right for local government to have taxing powers commensurate with their responsibilities, they have never expressly supported constitutional recognition of local government;²¹
• can be explained to the community; and
• preferably put separate from other proposed amendments to the Constitution.

Earlier, three types of constitutional recognition were identified:

• symbolic recognition – a reference in the preamble to the Constitution recognising the existence of local government in local government;
• institutional recognition – imposing a duty on States to retain a system of local government, and that a council ought not be amalgamated or dissolved without fair hearing; or
• financial recognition – a recognition that local government requires a more secure revenue stream to provide the services and infrastructure expected by the community.

These are now discussed in greater detail.
Styles of Constitutional Recognition

Symbolic

The current preamble to the Constitution reads:

WHEREAS the people of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland and Tasmania, humbly relying on the blessing of almighty God, have agreed to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and under the Constitution hereby established:

It clearly illustrates the fact that federation was all about bringing together five (and, after WA subsequently agreed to join the Commonwealth after the presentation of the Commonwealth of Australia Bill to the Imperial Parliament, six) colonies into one body politic under the Crown.

And that’s all. It is a specific clause designed to declare one thing: the federation of a number of British colonies into one commonwealth.

A reference to local government in the current preamble would appear out of place – it would need to be rewritten.

A new preamble was proposed as part of the 1999 republic referendum package.

That read:

With hope in God, the Commonwealth of Australia is constituted as a democracy with a federal system of government to serve the common good.

We the Australian people commit ourselves to this Constitution:

- proud that our national unity has been forged by Australians from many ancestries;
- never forgetting the sacrifices of all who defended our country and our liberty in time of war;
- upholding freedom, tolerance, individual dignity and the rule of law;
- honouring Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders, the nation's first people, for their deep kinship with their lands and for their ancient and continuing cultures which enrich the life of our country;
- recognising the nation-building contribution of generations of immigrants;
- mindful of our responsibility to protect our unique natural environment;
- supportive of achievement as well as equality of opportunity for all;
- and valuing independence as dearly as the national spirit which binds us together in both adversity and success.
A new preamble would probably look something like that, with a particular bit directly referring to local government.

The last parliament passed a parliamentary resolution recognising the role of local government. It read:

That the House/Senate:

1) recognises that local government is part of the governance of Australia, serving communities through locally elected councils
2) values the rich diversity of councils around Australia, reflecting the varied communities they serve.
3) acknowledges the role of local government in governance, advocacy, the provision of infrastructure, service delivery, planning, community development and regulation.
4) acknowledges the importance of cooperating with and consulting with local government on the priorities of their local communities.
5) acknowledges the significant Australian Government funding that is provided to local government to spend on locally determined priorities, such as roads and other local government services.
6) commends local government elected officials who give their time to serve their communities.

The first two paragraphs are of a style suitable for incorporation into a preamble.

The effect of a symbolic reference to local government in the preamble

The general role of a preamble in legislation is to provide the reasoning behind the passing of a piece of legislation, and can therefore be used sometimes in working out how an ambiguous piece of legislation should be interpreted.

A preamble usually does not create rights and obligations.\(^22\)

On that presumption, recognition of local government in the Constitution would have no legal effect.

That said, some could argue that recognition in the preamble could give rise to an implied constitutional right – that is, rights that are implied from the wording or concept within an express provision of the Constitution.\(^23\)

The High Court has found that some implied rights are contained in the Constitution.

It is for that reason that when a new preamble to the Constitution was proposed as part of the 1999 republic referendum, a new provision was also to be inserted making clear that the terms of the preamble were not to be used when interpreting the Constitution.
A proposed section 125A was to be added to the Constitution, reading:

125A Effect of preamble

The preamble to this Constitution has no legal force and shall not be considered in interpreting this Constitution or the law in force in the Commonwealth or any part of the Commonwealth.

Therefore, whilst the better view is that recognition of local government in the preamble probably is only symbolic, and has no legal effect, over the long term the High Court could decide that such a reference may have some legal consequences. Only time will tell.
Institutional Recognition of Local Government

One can have institutional recognition of local government, in which states are required to maintain a system of local government in a way similar to proposal put to the Australian people in 1988.

This would impose a duty on the states to maintain some sort of local government structure.

The Constitution already imposes other duties on states. For example, the states must make space in their prisons for Commonwealth prisoners.24

The proposal therefore:

- adopts a legislative design already contained in the Constitution;
- leaves the detail of how local government is structured in a particular state to that state.

However, it is noted that the 1988 referendum question only required the retention of ‘a’ system of local government.

It was silent on whether procedural fairness should be shown prior to amalgamating or dismissing a council.

Read literally, a state government could remove a council in the manner permitted by the law of the state. And as was recently discovered in Queensland, the presence of an established mechanism is no protection if a state government intends to rationalise the local government sector.

The issue, therefore, is whether institutional recognition of local government can or should expressly provide that procedural fairness should apply before a local government area is amalgamated or a Council dismissed.

Finally, there are grounds to argue that the Constitution could provide that local government not be amalgamated without a referendum. There is some constitutional precedent for this proposal.

For example, section 123 of the Constitution permits the change of state boundaries, but only after the consent of the state parliament and the approval by voters at a referendum.

However, both the last two proposals could draw opposition from state governments as unduly affecting their capacity to administer the state.
Financial Recognition of Local Government

Some argue that local government requires a more secure revenue stream from the Commonwealth to provide the services and infrastructure expected by the community.

While local government receives Financial Assistance Grants from the Commonwealth, the payments are made as grants via the States, largely because of doubt about the legal ability of the Commonwealth to make direct payments to local government.

The creation of a direct financial relationship lay at the heart of the proposed 1974 referendum on constitutional recognition of local government.

Despite this, payments are made direct to local government for the Roads to Recovery Program, although there have been indications in the past that one or more states might challenge this arrangement in court.

Any further strengthening of the direct financial relationship between the Commonwealth and local government could result in a legal challenge.

Taxing Powers

Some argue that local government should have the right to levy its own levels of taxation.

Some federations in other countries permit this.

In Germany, for example, Article 28 of the Basic Law provides a degree of constitutional protection and recognition of the communes in the German Federation. It reads:

(1) The constitutional order in the States must conform to the principles of the republican, democratic, and social state under the rule of law, within the meaning of this Constitution. In each of the States, counties, and communes, the people has to be represented by a body chosen in general, direct, free, equal and secret elections. During elections in counties and communes, persons who possess the citizenship of a European Community country are eligible to vote and being elected according to the laws of the European Community. In communes, the communal assembly can take the place of an elected body.

(2) The communes must be guaranteed the right to regulate, on their own responsibility, all the affairs of the local community within the limits set by statute. Within the framework of their statutory functions, the associations of communes have such right to self-government as may be provided by statute. The right to self-government also encompasses the foundations of financial accountability; part of this foundation is the communes' right to raise their tax shares according to local economic performance.

While this appears attractive at first look, a major disadvantage is that a council with a limited population or industry base would have little capacity to raise the revenue necessary to maintain services and infrastructure.
A Share of Taxation

Others have suggested that a fixed proportion of taxation revenue (say 1% of Commonwealth tax revenue, excluding the GST) should be provided to local government. This is called hypothecation.

Many economists have opposed hypothecation of taxation revenue for any purpose.

As Michael Keating, a former Secretary of the Australian Treasury said:

In the past, however, hypothecation of taxation has been opposed by many economists for the very good reason that hypothecation limits the fiscal freedom of governments to adjust to changing priorities. It is argued that in our system of representative democracy such choices are best made by our elected representatives who are better able to comprehend the necessary trade-offs involved and who will thus limit the chances of a policy change having unforeseen consequences.25

This proposal that local government receive a fixed share of taxation revenue would require the Australian Government to give up some of its revenue stream, which could be controversial, particularly as the states do not have a similar constitutional guarantee.26

There is also a question of who and how should revenue be allocated through local government areas:

- by way of population?
- by way of need? If so, who determines need? Should it be the Australian Government or a Grants Commission applying something like the National Principles established under the Local Government (Financial Assistance) Act 1995?
Moving Forward

It is clear that local government enjoys the goodwill of the Australian community.

A survey conducted by the Local Government Association of South Australian during 2003 found that people trusted local councils more than state or Commonwealth politicians and that councils perform better and provide better value for money than other governments, the same result as in NSW.

The same survey indicated that the majority of people want councils to take on more service delivery from Commonwealth governments and have a greater say in state and Commonwealth services.

If local government is to have a stable platform to provide the services that the Australian community deserves, local government requires a stable flow of revenue as well as a stable institutional structure.

The recommendations contained in this paper suggest possible changes to the Australian Constitution.

However, to achieve the goals of financial and institutional security for local government, broad community support is required.

This requires a clear articulation of what is meant by constitutional recognition and why it is necessary.
Frequently Asked Questions

Current powers of the Australian Government

Q. Can the Australian Government pass a Local Government Act like a state government can?

A. Not directly. Local government is not a subject matter set out as a matter over which the Australian Parliament can legislate. It would take a most expansive reading of the Australian parliament’s constitutional power to even suggest that it could.

Conducting a referendum

Q. Can my local government area run a constitutional referendum?

A. No. The Australian Electoral Commission runs constitutional referenda.

Q. We can have the issue of constitutional referenda considered pretty quickly in our local government area. Do we have to wait for everyone else?

A. Yes. A referendum must be held on the same day throughout Australia.

Q. Can a constitutional amendment be conducted at the same time as a federal election?

A. Yes

Q. Who writes the amendment to the Constitution we are voting on?

A. The Government. It is contained in a Bill that must pass an absolute majority of both Houses of Parliament before a referendum is put to the people.

Q. Can we expect public funding for the referendum?

A. It depends on the Government. Usually, the answer would be no. In particular, referendum legislation restricts what the Government can spend money on once the mechanism to change the Constitution commences.

However, the Government funded a neutral education campaign prior to the republic referendum, and provided the non-party political ‘yes’ and ‘no’ groups with $7.5m each to fund advertisements. It should be noted that the republic debate
was different to most referendum questions as there was no division on party lines as to whether or not there should be a republic.

Q. What rules apply to a referendum campaign?

A. As a general proposition, the rules that apply to federal election campaigns also apply to referendum questions.

Q. Can we prevent other proposals to amend the Constitution at the same time as a constitutional amendment recognising local government?

A. It all depends on the Government. If they want to, they can ask any number of questions proposing any number of constitutional amendments on the one day, so long as they are able to have Bills passed with an absolute majority of both houses of parliament.

Q. When can we expect the referendum to be conducted?

A. This depends on the Government. However, it is unlikely to have a referendum soon if local government is not clear on what it would like to see contained in the Constitution.

Q. Why didn’t previous referenda succeed?

A. A number of reasons:
   - government clumsiness – proposing a number of reforms in one question so that if you don’t like one of them, you are obliged to vote against the lot;
   - party politics – with the ALP generally supporting enlarged Commonwealth powers, and the coalition parties (as constituted from time to time) opposing them;
   - asking too many questions at once – prompting naysayers to suggest to voters to just vote NO;
   - trying to do too much at the one time;
   - strong political opponents (including States) advocating opposition; and
   - political context (or perhaps the wrong question at the same time)

Q. What do we need to do to maximise the chance of success of any constitutional amendment recognising local government?

A. To maximise the chance of success at a constitutional referendum, it is incumbent on local government to find a set of words that:
   - can be supported by both sides of politics – it is noted that whilst the Liberal Party platform supports the right for local government to have taxing powers commensurate with their responsibilities, they have never expressly supported constitutional recognition of local government;
   - can be explained to the community; and
• preferably is put separate from other proposed amendments to the Constitution.

Moreover, local government’s will need to spend time and resources to make their constituents aware of the benefits of constitutional recognition, so they are more likely to support the proposal.

Symbolic recognition of local government in the Constitution

Q. What is a preamble?

A. A preamble generally establishes the context that led to a law being made.

Q. Would constitutional recognition of local government in the preamble mean that my council could not be sacked?

A. Probably not.

Q. Would constitutional recognition of local government in the preamble mean that my Council could expect to receive more money from other tiers of Government?

A. Probably not.

Q. So, does recognition in the preamble achieve anything?

A. Not in a legal sense. A preamble usually does no more than establish the context by which legislation is made. It does not create any rights or obligations.

In the long term, the High Court might decide that the preamble could create an implied right to say, a right not to be dissolved or dismissed without a hearing, but it would depend on how the preamble was drafted. It certainly would have no legal impact if the Constitution was amended to make clear that the preamble does not create any rights or obligations, as was the case in 1999.

Q. So, why recognise local government in the constitution? After all, in 1901 the subject matter of local government was left to the states.

A. Because local government existed prior to Federation. It is appropriate for local government to be recognised in the Constitution, which is the paramount political document in the Federation given the increased role the sector has in providing services and infrastructure to Australians.

It certainly removes any doubt that local government is one of the three spheres of government.
Q. Are there any political risks in proposing amendments to the preamble?

A. It would not necessarily impact on the role of the states. However, if the preamble was amended in a way that removed a reference to the Crown could be argued by some to be ‘republicanism by stealth’.

Institutional recognition of local government in the Constitution

Q. The role of local government was recognised by the Federal Parliament. Isn’t that enough?

A. Technically, a resolution of a parliament is no more than an expression of opinion of that particular parliament. It has no legal effect.

Q. Would recognition of a system of local government in the Constitution mean that the Australian Government can pass something like a Local Government Act?

A. No. The structure of local government would remain a state responsibility.

Q. Would recognition of a system of local government mean that my Council could be sacked or amalgamated?

A. The law of the state relating to dissolution, dismissal or amalgamation would apply.

Q. Would recognition of a system of local government mean that my Council could expect to receive more money from other tiers of Government?

A. Probably not.

Q. So, does recognition of a system of government in the manner proposed in 1988 achieve anything?

A. The states must retain ‘a’ system of local government. At its narrowest, that probably means retaining at least two local government areas, although the High Court could construe the requirement to require the states to retain a ‘meaningful’ system of local government.

Although in the long term the High Court might decide that retaining a system of local government could create an implied right to, for instance, require some sort of consultation before a council is dissolved or amalgamated, much would depend on
how the provision was drafted.

If this is desired, it should be specified in the proposed amendment. However, the more requirements contained in the proposed amendments, the more likely that states would object on the grounds that it would affect their capacity to discharge their responsibilities to efficiently administer the state.

Q. So, why recognise local government in the constitution?

A. Because local government existed prior to Federation. It is appropriate for local government to be recognised in the Constitution, which is the paramount political document in the Federation given the increased role the sector has in providing services and infrastructure to Australians.

Q. Are there any political risks?

A. Broad recognition of a system of local government in the Constitution was proposed in 1988. It was heavily defeated. There are risks in proposing something that has already been rejected once.

Furthermore, the more requirements that any constitutional amendment imposes before a local government area can be dissolved/amalgamated (eg. requiring a referendum to be held before proceeding) the more states could oppose the proposal on the basis that the preconditions can damage the efficient management of the state.

Financial recognition of local government in the Constitution

Q. What is ‘taxation’?

A. Taxation is the compulsory exaction of money by a public authority for public purposes, enforceable by law, and not a payment for services rendered.27

Q. 1% of taxation is only a small amount of the overall tax take? This isn’t unreasonable, is it?

A. It could be controversial because as a general rule, governments do not like hypothecation as it means that funds cannot be used to deal with unexpected circumstances. It also provides a constitutional guarantee of revenue that states don’t have.

Q. Are there any political risks in asking for taxing powers?
A. The Australian Government may object on the grounds that it could affect the manner by which it manages the Australian economy. States may object on the ground that it provides local government with a constitutionally guaranteed stream of revenue that they themselves do not possess.

Including symbolic, institutional and financial recognition into the Constitution

Q. Finally, you have mentioned three types of constitutional recognition – symbolic, institutional, and financial. Can’t we have the lot?

A. The more complex the proposal, the greater the chance of opposition as either the Federal Government or the states may think their capacity to discharge their responsibilities could be affected.

Therefore, the more local government requests, the more time and resources it must find to make the case to the Australian people.
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13.3 Meeting Dates Schedule July 2011 to December 2011
Report No. 10TC0016 MB:le (01/04/11) Common No. 1486656

Report Number 10TC0016 MB:le attached.
ITEM NO: 13.3

SYNOPSIS:

This report presents a draft scheduling of dates for meetings for the last six months of the 2011 Calendar year for consideration by Council.

GENERAL:

The meeting dates schedule in Attachment A has been prepared allowing for public holidays.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Nil

ACTION PLAN IMPLICATIONS:

Nil

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS:

Section 58 (1) of the Local Government Act 2008 states:-

A council must hold a meeting of its members (an ordinary meeting) at least once in each successive period of 2 months.

Section 148 of the Darwin City Council By-laws states:-

“An ordinary meeting shall be held on such day and at such time in each month as the council from time to time determines.”
Section 59 of the Local Government Act 2008 states:-

(4) A notice convening a council meeting:

(a) must be in writing; and

(b) must state the date, time, place and agenda for the meeting; and

(c) must be given to the members:

(i) in the case of an ordinary meeting – at least 3 business days before the date of the meeting; and

(ii) in the case of a special meeting – at least 4 hours before the time appointed for the meeting; and

(d) must be accessible on the council’s website a reasonable time before the time appointed for the meeting; and

(e) must be posted on a notice board at the council's public office.

(5) The notice may be given to a member personally, by post, by email, by fax or in any other way pre-arranged by the CEO with the member.

Section 63 of the Local Government Act 2008 states:-

(1) Meetings of a local board or council committee are convened by the CEO.

(2) The CEO may, at the request of the Chair of a local board or council committee, convene a meeting of the local board or committee.

(3) A notice convening a meeting:

(a) must be in writing; and

(b) must state the date, time, place and agenda for the meeting; and

(c) must be given to the members of the local board or council committee a reasonable time before the time appointed for the meeting; and

(d) must be accessible on the council’s website a reasonable time before the time appointed for the meeting; and

(e) must be posted on a notice board at the council's public office.
(4) The notice may be given to a member personally, by post, by email, by fax or in any other way pre-arranged by the CEO with the member.

PUBLIC RELATIONS IMPLICATIONS:

Nil

CONSULTATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATIONS:

A. THAT Report Number 10TC0016 entitled, Meeting Dates Schedule July 2011 to December 2011, be received and noted.

B. THAT the meeting dates schedule July 2011 to December 2011 as described in Attachment A to Report Number 10TC0016, be adopted.

LINDA ELMER
COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR

MARK BLACKBURN
EXECUTIVE MANAGER

Any queries on this report may be directed to Linda Elmer on extension 5670 or Email: l.elmer@darwin.nt.gov.au
# Meeting Dates and Deadline Schedule

## July to December 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Town Planning</td>
<td>06/07/11</td>
<td>03/08/11</td>
<td>07/09/11</td>
<td>05/10/11</td>
<td>02/11/11</td>
<td>07/12/11</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>27/06/11</td>
<td>25/07/11</td>
<td>29/08/11</td>
<td>26/09/11</td>
<td>24/10/11</td>
<td>28/11/11</td>
<td>12 Noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services</td>
<td>11/07/11</td>
<td>15/08/11</td>
<td>12/09/11</td>
<td>10/10/11</td>
<td>14/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>04/07/11</td>
<td>08/08/11</td>
<td>05/09/11</td>
<td>03/10/11</td>
<td>07/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>18/07/11</td>
<td>22/08/11</td>
<td>19/09/11</td>
<td>17/10/11</td>
<td>21/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>11/07/11</td>
<td>15/08/11</td>
<td>12/09/11</td>
<td>10/10/11</td>
<td>14/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate &amp; Economic Development</td>
<td>19/07/11</td>
<td>23/08/11</td>
<td>20/09/11</td>
<td>18/10/11</td>
<td>22/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>11/07/11</td>
<td>15/08/11</td>
<td>12/09/11</td>
<td>10/10/11</td>
<td>14/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.00 Noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Ordinary Council</td>
<td>12/07/11</td>
<td>16/08/11</td>
<td>13/09/11</td>
<td>11/10/11</td>
<td>15/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>04/07/11</td>
<td>08/08/11</td>
<td>05/09/11</td>
<td>03/10/11</td>
<td>07/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Ordinary Council</td>
<td>26/07/11</td>
<td>30/08/11</td>
<td>27/09/11</td>
<td>25/10/11</td>
<td>29/11/11</td>
<td>13/12/11</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>18/07/11</td>
<td>22/08/11</td>
<td>19/09/11</td>
<td>17/10/11</td>
<td>21/11/11</td>
<td>05/12/11</td>
<td>5.00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PLEASE NOTE:**

- Deadlines for the Closure of each Agenda are at 4.00 p.m. Mondays.
- Any items submitted as *Confidential*, will require CEO approval.
- Any items not submitted by the deadline, will require CEO approval to be included in the papers.
14 INFORMATION ITEMS AND CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED

15 REPORTS OF REPRESENTATIVES

16 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS

17 GENERAL BUSINESS
18 DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING

THAT the next Ordinary Meeting of Council be held on Wednesday, 27 April 2011, at 5.00 p.m. (Open Section followed by the Confidential Section), Council Chambers, 1st Floor, Civic Centre, Harry Chan Avenue, Darwin.

DECISION NO.20(06/04/11)

19 CLOSURE OF MEETING TO THE PUBLIC

THAT pursuant to Section 65 (2) of the Local Government Act and Regulation 8 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations the meeting be closed to the public to consider the Confidential Items of the Agenda.

DECISION NO.20(06/04/11)

20 ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING AND MEDIA LIAISON